

<http://www.mathematik.uni-karlsruhe.de/~semlv>  
Seminar LV, No. 10, 4 pp., 04.05.2001

## A note on logarithms of self-adjoint operators

Christoph Schmoeger

Throughout this note  $\mathcal{H}$  will denote a complex Hilbert space,  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  the set of all bounded linear operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ , endowed with the usual structure of a Banach space,  $\sigma(T)$  and  $r(T)$  will denote the spectrum of  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  and the spectral radius of  $T$ , respectively.

In [4], C.R. Putnam has proved that if  $A$  is a positive self-adjoint operator in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ ,  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  and  $e^T = A$ , then  $\|T\| \leq 2 \log 2$  implies that  $T$  is self-adjoint. In [2], S. Kurepa has shown that it is sufficient to assume that  $\|T\| < 2\pi$  in order that  $T$  be self-adjoint. This condition, already in the set of complex numbers, cannot be replaced by  $\|T\| \leq 2\pi$  without changing the conclusion.

The object of the present note is to give a new proof of Kurepa's result. Furthermore we will generalize some of the results in [2]. To this end we will use the following propositions.

**Proposition 1** *Suppose that  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  is normal. Then:*

- (a)  $r(T) = \|T\|$ ,
- (b)  $T$  is self-adjoint if and only if  $\sigma(T) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* (a) is shown in [3, Lemma 4.3.11] and (b) is shown in [3, Proposition 4.4.7]. ■

A set  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$  is called  $2\pi i$ -congruence-free, if  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \Omega$  and  $\lambda_1 \equiv \lambda_2 \pmod{2\pi i}$  imply that  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ .

The following result is due to E. Hille, [1].

**Proposition 2** *Let  $T, S \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ , let  $\sigma(T)$  be  $2\pi i$ -congruence-free and let*

$$e^T = e^S.$$

*Then  $TS = ST$ .*

**Theorem 1** *If  $A$  and  $T$  are operators in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ ,  $A$  is positive and self-adjoint,*

$$e^T = A \quad \text{and} \quad r(T) < 2\pi,$$

*then  $T$  is self-adjoint.*

*Proof.* Since  $A$  is positive and self-adjoint and  $A = e^T$ , we have  $\sigma(A) \subseteq (0, \infty)$ . Now take  $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$ . Then  $e^\lambda \in \sigma(A)$ , thus  $e^\lambda \in (0, \infty)$ . Hence there is  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\lambda = \alpha + 2k\pi i$ . It follows that  $|\lambda|^2 = \alpha^2 + 4k^2\pi^2 < 4\pi^2$ , so that  $k = 0$ , thus  $\lambda = \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . This shows that  $\sigma(T) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  and therefore  $\sigma(T)$  is  $2\pi i$ -congruence-free. From

$$e^{T^*} = (e^T)^* = A^* = A = e^T$$

and Proposition 2 we get that  $T$  is normal. Proposition 1(b) shows now that  $T$  is self-adjoint. ■

As mentioned in the introduction, the condition  $r(T) < 2\pi$  cannot be replaced by  $r(T) \leq 2\pi$ . But we have

**Theorem 2** *Suppose that  $A, T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ ,  $A$  is positive and self-adjoint,*

$$e^T = A, \quad r(T) \leq 2\pi \quad \text{and} \quad 2\pi i, -2\pi i \notin \sigma(T),$$

*then  $T$  is self-adjoint.*

*Proof.* Take  $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$ . As in the proof of Theorem 1,  $\lambda = \alpha + 2k\pi i$  for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . From  $|\lambda|^2 = \alpha^2 + 4k^2\pi^2 \leq 4\pi^2$ , we see that  $k \in \{0, 1, -1\}$ . If  $k = \pm 1$  then  $\alpha = 0$  and therefore  $\lambda = \pm 2\pi i$ . But this is a contradiction, since  $\pm 2\pi i \notin \sigma(T)$ . It follows that  $\sigma(T) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . As in the proof of Theorem 1 we see that  $T$  is self-adjoint. ■

**Corollary 1** *If  $T$  and  $S$  are operators in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ ,  $S$  is self-adjoint,*

$$e^T = e^S \quad \text{and} \quad r(T) < 2\pi,$$

*then  $T = S$ .*

*Proof.* Put  $A = e^S$ . Then  $A$  is self-adjoint. By  $(\cdot|\cdot)$  we denote the inner product on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Since

$$(Ax|x) = (e^{S/2}e^{S/2}x|x) = (e^{S/2}x|e^{S/2}x) = \|e^{S/2}x\|^2 \geq 0$$

for each  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $A$  is positive. From Theorem 1 we conclude that  $T$  is self-adjoint. Proposition 2 gives  $TS = ST$ , thus  $e^{T-S} = I$ . Now take  $\lambda \in \sigma(T-S)$ . Then  $e^\lambda = 1$ . Since  $T-S$  is self-adjoint,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . Hence  $\lambda = 0$ . Therefore  $\sigma(T-S) = \{0\}$ . Use Proposition 1(a) to derive  $\|T-S\| = r(T-S) = 0$ . Hence  $T = S$ , as desired. ■

**Corollary 2** *If  $T, S \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ ,  $S$  is self-adjoint,*

$$e^T = e^S, \quad r(T) \leq 2\pi \quad \text{and} \quad 2\pi i, -2\pi i \notin \sigma(T),$$

*then  $T = S$ .*

*Proof.* Argue as in the proof of Corollary 1. Use Theorem 2 to see that  $T$  is self-adjoint. ■

The following corollary can be found in [2]. We will give a slightly different proof.

**Corollary 3** *Let  $T, A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  and  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ . Suppose that  $A$  is positive and self-adjoint and that  $e^T = e^{i\theta}A$ .*

- (a) If  $\theta \in [0, \pi]$ , then  $r(T) \geq \theta$ .
- (b) If  $\theta \in [\pi, 2\pi]$ , then  $r(T) \geq 2\pi - \theta$ .

*Proof.* (a) Suppose that  $r(T) < \theta$ . Then

$$r(T - i\theta I) \leq r(T) + \theta < 2\theta < 2\pi.$$

From  $e^{T-i\theta I} = e^T e^{-i\theta I} = A$  and Theorem 1, we see that  $T - i\theta I$  is self-adjoint, thus  $T$  is normal and  $T - T^* = 2i\theta$ . Since  $T$  and  $T^*$  commute,  $r(T - T^*) \leq r(T) + r(T^*)$  (see [3, Exercise 4.1.12]). Thus

$$2\theta = r(T - T^*) \leq r(T) + r(T^*) = 2r(T) < 2\theta,$$

a contradiction.

(b) Put  $\tau = 2\pi - \theta$ . Then  $e^{T^*} = e^{-i\theta} A = e^{i(2\pi-\theta)} A = e^{i\tau} A$ . Since  $\tau \in [0, \pi]$ , (a) shows that  $r(T^*) \geq \tau$ . Thus  $r(T) \geq 2\pi - \theta$ . ■

As an immediate consequence of Corollary 3 we have:

**Corollary 4** *Suppose that  $T, A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  and that  $A$  is positive and self-adjoint.*

- (a) If  $e^T = -A$ , then  $r(T) \geq \pi$ .
- (b) If  $e^T = iA$ , then  $r(T) \geq \frac{\pi}{2}$ .
- (c) If  $e^T = -iA$ , then  $r(T) \geq \frac{\pi}{2}$ .

We close this paper with results concerning logarithms of unitary operators.

**Theorem 3** *Suppose that  $U \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  is unitary,  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ ,  $r(T) \leq \pi$  and  $e^{iT} = U$ . If  $\pi \notin \sigma(T)$  or  $-\pi \notin \sigma(T)$ , then  $T$  is self-adjoint.*

*Proof.* Take  $\lambda \in \sigma(iT)$ . Then  $e^\lambda \in \sigma(U)$ , thus  $|e^\lambda| = 1$ . Hence  $\lambda = i\beta$  for some  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ . From  $|\beta| = |\lambda| \leq r(T) \leq \pi$  we see that

$$\sigma(iT) \subseteq \{i\beta : \beta \in [-\pi, \pi]\}.$$

Since  $\pi \notin \sigma(T)$  or  $-\pi \notin \sigma(T)$ ,  $\sigma(iT)$  is  $2\pi i$ -congruence-free. From

$$e^{-iT^*} = (e^{iT})^* = U^* = U^{-1} = e^{-iT}$$

and Proposition 2 we derive  $TT^* = T^*T$ . Hence  $T$  is normal. Furthermore we have  $\sigma(T) \subseteq [-\pi, \pi]$ . It follows from Proposition 1(b) that  $T$  is self-adjoint. ■

**Corollary 5** *If  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ ,  $r(T) < \pi$  and  $e^{iT}$  is unitary, then  $T$  is self-adjoint.*

## References

- [1] E. Hille: On roots and logarithms of elements of a complex Banach algebra. Math. Ann. **136** (1958), 46–57.
- [2] S. Kurepa: A note on logarithms of normal operators. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **13** (1962), 307–311.
- [3] G.K. Petersen: Analysis Now. Springer New York (1988).
- [4] C.R. Putnam: On square roots and logarithms of self-adjoint operators. Proc. Glasgow Math. Assoc. **4** (1958), 1–2.

Christoph Schmoeger  
Mathematisches Institut I  
Universität Karlsruhe  
76128 Karlsruhe  
Germany

E-Mail: christoph.schmoeger@math.uni-karlsruhe.de